eiaustralia

Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical

TONY OWEN PARTNERS PTY LTD

Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment

93-145 Hoxton Park Road, 20 & 48 Dale Avenue, 49-51 Maryvale Avenue, 260 Memorial Avenue

E25630.G01_Rev1
16 January 2025

Document Control

Report Title:	Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment, 93-145 Hoxton Park Road, 20 & 48 Dale Avenue, 49-51 Maryvale Avenue, 260 Memorial Avenue
Report No:	E25630.G01_Rev1

Copies	Recipient
1 Soft Copy (PDF – Secured, issued by email)	Tony Owen Tony Owen Partners Pty Ltd Level 2, 12 Queen St, Chippendale
2 Original (Saved to Digital Archives)	EI Australia Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT NSW 2009

Author	Technical Reviewer
	
Anthony Camillos **Geotechnical Engineer**	**Mrigesh Tamang** **Senior Geotechnical Engineer**

Revision	Details	Date	Amended By
1	Addition of 49 Maryvale Avenue and 260 Memorial Avenue, alteration of 44 Dale Avenue to 48, and Figure 1 amendments.	16 January 2025	JO
	Original	27 June 2022	

© 2025 EI Australia (EI) ABN: 42 909 129 957

This report is protected by copyright law and may only be reproduced, in electronic or hard copy format, if it is copied and distributed in full and with prior written permission by EI.

Table of Contents

Schedule of Tables

Appendices

FIGURES

APPENDIX A – IMPORTANT INFORMATION

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

At the request of Tony Owen Partners Pty Ltd (the Client), EI Australia (EI) has carried out a Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment (PGA) for the proposed development at 93-145 Hoxton Park Road, 20 & 48 Dale Avenue, 49-51 Maryvale Avenue, 260 Memorial Avenue(the Site).

This PGA report has been undertaken to assess the likely Site surface and subsurface conditions and anticipated geotechnical factors associated with the proposed development, in support of a Development Application to the Local Council, and the preparation of the initial design of the proposed development.

1.2 Proposed Development

The following documents, supplied by the Client, were used to assist with the preparation of this PGA report:

- Preliminary architectural drawings prepared by Tony Owens Partners – unreferenced, dated March 2021; RL 15.90 BASEMENT 2 RL 22.30 BASEMENT 2.

Based on the provided documents, EI understands that the proposed development involves the demolition of the existing site structures and the construction of six six-storey residential development overlying a two-level basement and an internal road. The lowest basement level is proposed to have a finished floor level (FFL) of between RL 15.9m for Building B and RL 22.30m for Building C. A Bulk Excavation Level (BEL) ranging between RL 15.6m and RL 22.0m is assumed for Building B and Building C respectively, which includes allowance for the construction of the basement slab. To achieve the BEL, excavation depths of about 7.0m Below Existing Ground Level (BEGL) have been estimated. Locally deeper excavations may be required for footings, lift shafts, water tanks, and service trenches.

1.3 Assessment Objectives

This PGA report has been undertaken to assess the likely Site surface and subsurface conditions for the development of a preliminary conceptual ground model of soil, rock and groundwater conditions beneath the site based on our experience and previous investigations within the vicinity of the site. This model is to assist in providing preliminary geotechnical advice and recommendations for consideration in the preparation of concept designs and construction methodologies for the proposed development including:

- Dilapidation surveys;
- Excavation assessment;
- Groundwater considerations;
- Excavation retention;
- Preliminary building foundation options including preliminary design parameters;
- The requirement for specific geotechnical investigations for detailed design post-DA and following site clearance.

2. Site Description

2.1 Site Description and Identification

The site identification details and associated information are presented in **Table 2-1** below while the site locality is shown on **Figure 1.**

Table 2-1 Summary of Site Information

Information	Detail
Street Address	93-145 Hoxton Park Road, 20 & 48 Dale Avenue, 49-51 Maryvale Avenue, 260 Memorial Avenue
Lot and Deposited Plan (DP) Identification	Lot 5A in DP 396839, Lots 53-80 in DP 1154816, Lot 2 in DP 1050030, and Lots 140 and 126 in DP 25952.
Brief Site Description	The proposed site currently comprises a vacant lot covered with grass and some large trees surrounding the perimeter running parallel to the north side of Hoxton Park road. The site also encompasses the properties that bookend this vacant lot, 49-51 Maryvale Avenue and 260 Memorial Avenue, single storey residential dwellings with cement block perimeter walls. 20 and 48 Dale Avenue are also both single storey residential dwellings whose southern boundaries abut onto the lot of vacant land.
Site Area	The site area is approximately 1.27 ha (estimated from SIX maps).

2.2 Local Land Use

The site is situated within an area of residential use. Current uses on surrounding land at the time of our presence on site are described in **Table 2-2** below. For the sake of this report, the site boundary nearest to Hoxton Park Road shall be adopted as the Southern site boundary.

Table 2-2 Summary of Local Land Use

Direction Relative to Site	Land Use Description
North	4 to 50 Dale Avenue, one to two storey residential dwellings with the closest dwellings abutting the site boundary. In the west, 258 Memorial Avenue is a two-storey brick duplex dwelling with the main dwelling offset from the site boundary by approximately 0.5m. In the east, 47 Maryvale Avenue is a two-storey brick dwelling with the main dwelling offset from the site boundary by approximately 2.5m.
East	Maryvale Avenue, a two lane asphalt road.
South	Hoxton Park Road a six lane asphalt road. Beyond Hoxton park road are one and two storey residential dwellings. Hoxton Park road is a TFNSW asset.
West	Memorial Avenue, a two lane asphalt road.

2.3 Regional Setting

The site topography and geological information for the locality is summarised in **Table 2-3** below**.**

Table 2-3 Topographic and Geological Information

Attribute	Description
Topography	The site is located on the north side of Hoxton Park Road within gently (0° to 5°), west dipping topography.
Regional Geology	Information on regional sub-surface conditions, referenced from the Department of Mineral Resources Geological Map Penrith 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet 9030 (DMR 1991) indicates the site to be underlain by Ashfield Shale, which consists of black to dark grey shale and laminite. It should be noted that the site lays on the boundary of quaternary material (Qpn) which consists of medium grain sand, clay and silt.

2.4 Conceptual Ground Model

A summary of subsurface ground conditions likely to be encountered at the Site is presented in **Table 2-4** below. The information presented below is inferred from a review of our in-house database and our knowledge of the area. Based on regional information, the subsurface conditions around the site are likely comprised of fill and residual soils over Shale. It should be noted that a basalt dyke is running in a NW-SE orientation very close to the site (less than approximately 50m).

Table 2-4 Conceptual Ground Model

Unit	Material	Comment
1	Fill	Fill material is inferred to be uncontrolled and poorly compacted. Filling may be deeper beneath existing structures and in landscaped areas of the site.
2	Residual Soil	Silty CLAY; medium to high plasticity, typically firm to stiff grading onto extremely weathered material underlain by Shale.
3	Shale	Shale is expected to be initially of very low strength and distinctly weathered. The strength generally increases and weathering generally decreases with depth. Based on previous investigations within the vicinity of the site, the depth to bedrock is expected to be between 3.0m to5.0m BEGL.

Based on the limited in-house information available for the area, the depth to groundwater is inferred to be between 1.0 to 4.0m BEGL.

3. Recommendations

3.1 Overview

Considering the proposed development and likely subsurface conditions that may be encountered, we consider the following to be the main geotechnical issues for the proposed development:

- Basement Excavatability;
- Excavation Retention;
- Depth to rock and rock quality for foundation design; and

Further discussions on the above issues are provided in the following sections.

3.2 Dilapidation Surveys

Dilapidation surveys should be carried out on the adjoining structures and infrastructures that fall within the zone of influence of the excavation. The zone of influence of the excavation can be defined as a horizontal distance back from the edge of the excavation of at least twice the excavation depth.

3.3 Excavation Methodology and Vibration Monitoring

3.3.1 Preliminary Excavation Assessment

In order to achieve the proposed two-level basement, excavation depths of up to about 7.0m BEGL is expected across most of the site. It is likely that the proposed development will therefore extend through all Units as described in **Table 2-4** above.

Prior to any excavation commencing:

- An appropriate full depth retention system must be installed; and
- Reference must be made to the Safe Work NSW Excavation Work Code of Practice – January 2020.

Units 1 and 2 can be readily excavated by buckets of medium hydraulic excavators. Unit 3 may require a high capacity and heavy bulldozer for effective production should bedrock of at least low to medium strength be encountered. Further Geotechnical Investigation should be undertaken on the site, to confirm the quality of bedrock within the excavation depth

Should rock breakers be used, vibration monitoring must be carried out and further advice must be sought from the geotechnical engineer.

Groundwater seepage monitoring should be carried out during bulk excavation prior to finalising the design of a pump out facility. Outlets into the stormwater system will require Council approval.

3.3.2 Excavation Monitoring

Consideration should be made to the impact of the proposed development upon neighbouring structures, roadways and services. Basement excavation retention systems should be designed so as to limit lateral deflections.

Contractors should also consider the following limits associated with carrying out excavation and construction activities:

- Limit lateral deflection of temporary or permanent retaining structures; and
- Limit vertical settlements of ground surface at common property boundaries and services easement.
- Limit Peak Particle Velocities (PPV) from vibrations, caused by construction equipment or excavation, experienced by any nearby structures and services.

Monitoring of deflections of retaining structures and surface settlements should be carried out by a registered surveyor at agreed points along the excavation boundaries and along existing building foundations/ services/ pavements and other structures located within or near the zone of influence of the excavation. Owners of existing services adjacent to the site should be consulted to assess appropriate deflection limits for their infrastructure. Measurements should be taken:

- Prior to commencement of excavations;
- Immediately after installation of any temporary or permanent retaining structures;
- Immediately after the excavation has reached a depth of 1.5 m, and each 1.5 m depth increment thereafter;
- Immediately after the excavation has reached bulk excavation level; and
- Immediately after backfilling behind retaining structures.

3.3.3 Site Preparation and Earthworks

Working platforms for construction plant, placed on in-situ materials or on new fill, may be required and should be designed by a geotechnical engineer.

3.4 Excavation Retention and Retaining Walls

From a geotechnical perspective, it is critical to maintain the stability of the adjacent structures and infrastructures during demolition and excavation works. Excavations and retention systems will need to take into consideration the stability of adjoining structures so as not to have any adverse effects on the buildings and structures adjoining the excavation.

Based on the provided architectural drawings, the basement is proposed to a have setbacks of 6.0m to the east, between 1.85m and 7.0m to the south, 6.0m to the west, and 4.2m to the northern site boundaries.

Temporary batters of 1 Vertical to 1 Horizontal may be possible to the where space is available. The temporary batters should remain stable provided that all surcharge loads, including construction loads, are kept at a distance of at least 2h (where ‘h’ is the height of the batter in metres) from the crest of the batter. If steeper batters are to be used, then these must be supported by shotcrete and soil nail system designed by a suitable structural or geotechnical engineer. The stability of these batters can be assessed using computer slope stability analysis software such as Slope/W.

Alternatively, where space does not allow for temporary batters, a suitable full depth retention system such as soldier pile walls will be required for the support of the entire excavation. The

retention system must be installed to below Bulk Excavation Level (BEL) (including footings, service trenches and lift overrun pits) and socketed into low strength bedrock or better.

We recommend that information regarding the depth of the adjacent basements (if any) and founding materials of the adjacent footings be sought, to determine the requirement of underpinning of these structures.

3.5 Groundwater Considerations

Based on the limited in-house information available for the area, the depth to groundwater is inferred to be between 1.0 to 4.0m BEGL. Notwithstanding, we recommend that groundwater wells be installed for monitoring of the groundwater levels and completion of pump out tests at the site. The purpose of the groundwater monitoring is to estimate the groundwater seepage into the excavation to assist in finalisation of the drainage system. Groundwater aggressivity towards steel and concrete should also be assessed against the criteria set out in AS 2159:2009, which gives guidelines for steel and concrete foundation susceptibility to soil and groundwater aggressivity.

3.6 Foundation Options

Following the completion of bulk excavations, Unit 3 Shale bedrock is expected to be exposed at the base. We recommend that all footings be founded on similar material.

Pads/strip footings and/or bored piers founded within Unit 3 bedrock may be preliminarily designed for a maximum allowable bearing capacity of 600 kPa. For piles, an allowable shaft adhesion equal to 10% of the allowable bearing pressure in compression may also be used.

EI recommends a geotechnical investigation to be carried out, preferably following demolition, involving at least six cored boreholes drilled to a minimum of 3m below final bulk excavation levels to determine the depth and quality of bedrock to ascertain our assumptions and optimize the bearing pressures.

Design of piles should consider the aggressivity of the soil and groundwater in accordance with Sections 6.4 and 6.5 of AS2159-2009.

4. Conclusions

This PGA report provides preliminary advice for construction at the site based on available information prior to intrusive geotechnical investigations. Geotechnical factors which may influence development of the site include:

- Depth to rock and rock quality for foundation design;
- Depth of groundwater; and
- Foundation conditions of adjoining properties

Further geotechnical investigation and design input are required during the detailed design phase prior to and during construction. These are detailed further in **Section 5** of this report.

5. Further Geotechnical Inputs

Detailed geotechnical subsurface investigation prior to final design to determine the site specific subsurface profile and geotechnical parameters for design of footings is recommended.

The geotechnical investigation should involve:

- At least six cored boreholes within the site to bedrock of sufficient quality
- Bulk soil samples for respective laboratory testing such as soil reactivity and California Bearing Ratio (CBR).
- At least two groundwater wells within the site to monitor the groundwater levels and for completion of pump out tests (if required).

We do not recommend that the final design be carried out based on this PGA report. The PGA report must be reviewed following the completion of the intrusive geotechnical investigation.

In addition, geotechnical footing inspections should be carried out during the construction stage (if new footings are necessary) to check initial assumptions about foundations conditions and likely variations that may occur between borehole locations and to provide additional advice.

6. Statement of Limitations

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Tony Owen Partners Pty Ltd who is the only intended beneficiary of EI's work. The scope of the investigations carried out for the purpose of this report is limited to those agreed by Tony Owen Partners Pty Ltd.

This PGA report is purely a desktop assessment and no intrusive works were carried out at the Site. Further geotechnical investigation and design input are required during the detailed design phase prior to and during construction. These are detailed further in **Section 5** of this report.

No other party should rely on the document without the prior written consent of EI, and EI undertakes no duty, or accepts any responsibility or liability, to any third party who purports to rely upon this document without EI's approval.

EI has used a degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised in similar investigations by reputable members of the geotechnical industry in Australia as at the date of this document. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made or intended. Each section of this report must be read in conjunction with the whole of this report, including its appendices and attachments.

The conclusions presented in this report are based on a limited investigation of conditions, with specific sampling locations chosen to be as representative as possible under the given circumstances.

EI's professional opinions are reasonable and based on its professional judgment, experience, training and results from analytical data. EI may also have relied upon information provided by the Client and other third parties to prepare this document, some of which may not have been verified by EI.

EI's professional opinions contained in this document are subject to modification if additional information is obtained through further investigation, observations, or validation testing and analysis during remedial activities. In some cases, further testing and analysis may be required, which may result in a further report with different conclusions.

We draw your attention to the document "Important Information", which is included in **Appendix A** of this report. The statements presented in this document are intended to advise you of what your realistic expectations of this report should be. The document is not intended to reduce the level of responsibility accepted by EI, but rather to ensure that all parties who may rely on this report are aware of the responsibilities each assumes in so doing.

Should you have any queries regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact EI.

References

AS1726:2017, *Geotechnical Site Investigations*, Standards Australia.

AS2159:2009, *Piling – Design and Installation*, Standards Australia.

AS3600:2009, *Concrete Structures,* Standards Australia

Safe Work NSW Excavation Work Code of Practice, dated January 2020 – WorkCover NSW

NSW Department of Finance and Service, Spatial Information Viewer, maps.six.nsw.gov.au.

NSW Department of Mineral Resources (1991) Penrith 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet 9030 (Edition 1). Geological Survey of New South Wales, Department of Mineral Resources.

Abbreviations

AHD	Australian Height Datum
AS	Australian Standard
BEGL	Below Existing Ground Level
DP	Deposited Plan
EI	EI Australia
PGA	Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment
RL	Reduced Level

Figures



eiaustralia Practical Solutions for Built Environments Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT 2009 Ph (02) 9516 0722 Fax (02) 9518 5088	Drawn:	J.O.	**Tony Owen Partners Pty Ltd** Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment 49 Maryvale Avenue, 95 - 145 Hoxton Park Road and 20 & 44 Dale Avenue, Hoxton Park NSW Site Locality Plan
	Approved:	-	
	Date:	8-1-24	
	Scale:	Not To Scale	

Figure: 1

Project: E25630.G01_Rev1

Appendix A – Important Information

Important Information

SCOPE OF SERVICES

The geotechnical report ("the report") has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services as set out in the contract, or as otherwise agreed, between the Client And EI Australia ("EI"). The scope of work may have been limited by a range of factors such as time, budget, access and/or site disturbance constraints.

RELIANCE ON DATA

EI has relied on data provided by the Client and other individuals and organizations, to prepare the report. Such data may include surveys, analyses, designs, maps and plans. EI has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the data except as stated in the report. To the extent that the statements, opinions, facts, information, conclusions and/or recommendations ("conclusions") are based in whole or part on the data, EI will not be liable in relation to incorrect conclusions should any data, information or condition be incorrect or have been concealed, withheld, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed to EI.

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING

Geotechnical engineering is based extensively on judgment and opinion. It is far less exact than other engineering disciplines. Geotechnical engineering reports are prepared for a specific client, for a specific project and to meet specific needs, and may not be adequate for other clients or other purposes (e.g. a report prepared for a consulting civil engineer may not be adequate for a construction contractor). The report should not be used for other than its intended purpose without seeking additional geotechnical advice. Also, unless further geotechnical advice is obtained, the report cannot be used where the nature and/or details of the proposed development are changed.

LIMITATIONS OF SITE INVESTIGATION

The investigation programme undertaken is a professional estimate of the scope of investigation required to provide a general profile of subsurface conditions. The data derived from the site investigation programme and subsequent laboratory testing are extrapolated across the site to form an inferred geological model, and an engineering opinion is rendered about overall subsurface conditions and their likely behaviour with regard to the proposed development. Despite investigation, the actual conditions at the site might differ from those inferred to exist, since no subsurface exploration program, no matter how comprehensive, can reveal all subsurface details and anomalies. The engineering logs are the subjective interpretation of subsurface conditions at a particular location and time, made by trained personnel. The actual interface between materials may be more gradual or abrupt than a report indicates.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS ARE TIME DEPENDENT

Subsurface conditions can be modified by changing natural forces or man-made influences. The report is based on conditions that existed at the time of subsurface exploration. Construction operations adjacent to the site, and natural events such as floods, or ground water fluctuations, may also affect subsurface conditions, and thus the continuing adequacy of a geotechnical report. EI should be kept appraised of any such events, and should be consulted to determine if any additional tests are necessary.

VERIFICATION OF SITE CONDITIONS

Where ground conditions encountered at the site differ significantly from those anticipated in the report, either due to natural variability of subsurface conditions or construction activities, it is a condition of the report that EI be notified of any variations and be provided with an opportunity to review the recommendations of this report. Recognition of change of soil and rock conditions requires experience and it is recommended that a suitably experienced geotechnical engineer be engaged to visit the site with sufficient frequency to detect if conditions have changed significantly.

REPRODUCTION OF REPORTS

This report is the subject of copyright and shall not be reproduced either totally or in part without the express permission of this Company. Where information from the accompanying report is to be included in contract documents or engineering specification for the project, the entire report should be included in order to minimize the likelihood of misinterpretation from logs.

REPORT FOR BENEFIT OF CLIENT

The report has been prepared for the benefit of the Client and no other party. EI assumes no responsibility and will not be liable to any other person or organisation for or in relation to any matter dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report, or for any loss or damage suffered by any other person or organisation arising from matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report (including without limitation matters arising from any negligent act or omission of EI or for any loss or damage suffered by any other party relying upon the matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report). Other parties should not rely upon the report or the accuracy or completeness of any conclusions and should make their own inquiries and obtain independent advice in relation to such matters.

OTHER LIMITATIONS

EI will not be liable to update or revise the report to take into account any events or emergent circumstances or fact occurring or becoming apparent after the date of the report.